

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/911,993	07/24/2001	David M. Vande Berg	01,241	5138		
24030 73	24030 7590 09/16/2004		EXAMINER			
SHUGHART THOMSON & KILROY, PC						
120 WEST 12TH STREET KANSAS CITY, MO 64105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	-, -,					

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/911,993	VANDE BERG, DAVID M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876	
		1

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>23 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

1417	~!!	AT DE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.130.	
1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not heading or in the proper order.	under the proper
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).	does not identify the
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).	es not contain a
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).	
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CF	R 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, an	d
	(a)	(a) the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief	do not stand or fall ef.
	(b)	(b) the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claim together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of	
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appear	(37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.	\boxtimes	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.		Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):	
		Re item 8: the apendix of claims does not contain a correct copy of the claims, i.e., Re claim 13, line 11: Delete "of said wheel." Re claim 20, lines 1-2: Delete "the identification system comprising," Re claim 20, line 6: Substitute "an RF tag" withthe identification system comprising an Re claim 20, line 6: Delete ", the block of material being" Re claim 20, line 8: Substitute "being mounted" withsecurable	
		/ // ANOMATI C I	EF.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800